

The Intersecting Spheres of Media: Theory of Text, Problems of Media, and Journalistic Genres in the Digital Age

Natalia Yevdokymova
Vira Babych
Liydmyla Maskaloiva
Nataliia Ivantsova

Pylyp Orlyk International Classical University

The media and communication landscape is in a constant flux, marked by technological advancements and evolving societal norms. At the heart of this dynamic environment lie the intricate relationships between the theoretical frameworks we use to understand media, the persistent challenges that plague the media ecosystem, and the diverse forms through which journalistic content is presented. The "theory of work and text," despite its origins in literary criticism, offers enduring relevance in analyzing contemporary media challenges and the shifting nature of journalistic practices. These practices are increasingly confronted by significant "problems of media," including the widespread dissemination of misinformation, a noticeable decline in public trust, and the pervasive influence of rapidly evolving technologies. Furthermore, "journalistic genres," serving as both structural containers for media content and reflections of journalistic norms, provide a crucial site where these theoretical concepts and societal problems converge. This report aims to explore these interconnected themes, drawing upon a range of scholarly literature to analyze their complex relationships and propose potential titles for academic papers suitable for publication by Oxford University Press.

To effectively analyze the complexities of contemporary media, it is essential to first establish a robust theoretical foundation. The "theory of work and text," primarily associated with post-structuralist thought, provides valuable frameworks for understanding how media content is created, disseminated, and interpreted.

Keywords

Theory of Text, Problems of Media, Journalistic Genres

Introduction

Roland Barthes' influential essay "From Work to Text" presents a fundamental dichotomy between the traditional notion of a literary or artistic "work" and the more contemporary concept of the "text".¹ Barthes argues that the "work" is a

tangible object, confined to a specific medium and often associated with a singular authorial intention. It exists as a fragment of substance, occupying a defined space, such as a book on a library shelf.¹ In contrast, the "text" is not merely a physical entity but rather a methodological field, a process of demonstration that speaks according to certain rules.¹ It is characterized by its unclassifiable nature, its generation of perpetual signifiers, and its inherent intertextuality, existing only when caught up in a discourse.²

Barthes delineates seven key characteristics that distinguish the "work" from the "text." Firstly, concerning method, the "work" is a concrete object that can be handled, while the "text" is a composition or meaning derived by the reader.² Secondly, regarding genre, the "work" adheres to rigid classifications, whereas the "text" is unclassifiable.² Thirdly, in terms of signs, the "work" is complete with a signified meaning, while the "text" is metonymic, encouraging multiple associations and interpretations.² Fourthly, concerning plurality, the "work" has a literal interpretation, but the "text" is open-ended with multiple layers of meaning and intertextual references.² Fifthly, regarding filiation, the "work" is associated with a specific author, but the "text" is untraceable, with the unity lying in the reader.² Sixthly, in terms of reading, the "work" is a commodity for passive consumption, while the "text" invites collaborative and active reading.² Finally, concerning pleasure, the "work" might lead to boredom if not actively engaged with, whereas the "text" arouses a different kind of pleasure through active participation in meaning-making.²

Barthes' concept of the "text" as a dynamic and intertextual entity is particularly pertinent to understanding digital media. The hyperlinked and interconnected nature of online content, where a single journalistic piece can link to numerous other sources and interpretations, aligns with the fluid and associative qualities of the "text." Furthermore, the participatory nature of digital platforms, with features like comments sections and social sharing, exemplifies the "text" in action, where meaning is collectively produced and negotiated by audiences.¹ The focus shifts from the author's singular intention (more aligned with the "work") to the reader's active role in constructing meaning from the media they consume.

In the context of journalistic texts, different genres might be perceived as leaning towards either the "work" or the "text." For instance, a breaking news report, striving for immediate factual dissemination, might initially aim for the characteristics of a "work," seeking a singular, authoritative interpretation. However, even such a report, when encountered in the digital sphere, becomes part of a larger network of information, subject to diverse readings and discussions. Conversely, a long-form investigative piece or a narrative feature, with its potential for multiple perspectives and deeper engagement, could be seen as embodying more of the open and associative qualities of a "text." The intertextual nature of online journalism also means that any

journalistic piece exists within a broader web of references, potentially cutting across various "works" and inviting a "textual" mode of engagement.

Louise Rosenblatt: Transactional Theory of the Literary Work

Complementing Barthes' perspective, Louise Rosenblatt's transactional theory of the literary work emphasizes the dynamic interplay between the reader and the text in the creation of meaning.⁴ Rosenblatt argues that meaning is not inherent in either the text alone or the reader alone, but rather emerges from the unique "transaction" that occurs between them at a specific time and under particular circumstances.⁴ This theory underscores the active role of the reader, who brings their entire personal history, including cultural background⁵, experiences, beliefs, and emotional state, to the reading event.⁴ These factors significantly influence how the reader perceives and interprets the verbal symbols on the page.

While the reader is active, the text itself is not merely a passive object. It possesses certain potentialities and constraints through its organized structure of verbal symbols, guiding the reader's transaction.⁴ The author's choices of language, structure, and literary devices offer a framework within which the reader operates, holding the reader accountable to its meaning.⁴ For Rosenblatt, "the poem" or the literary work as experienced is not the physical book or the abstract text, but the lived-through experience or the meaning that arises during this transaction.⁴ It is a unique event in time, personal to each reader and each reading, resulting from the reader negotiating meaning with the text, creating a "live circuit".⁴

Rosenblatt distinguishes between two primary stances or purposes that readers may adopt: efferent reading and aesthetic reading.⁴ Efferent reading focuses on what the reader will "carry away" from the text – the information, facts, or instructions. The primary goal is to acquire knowledge. Aesthetic reading, on the other hand, focuses on the experience of reading itself – the feelings, images, ideas, and associations that arise during the interaction. Rosenblatt emphasizes that literary reading is primarily aesthetic, but in the context of journalism, readers often engage in both modes. They might read a news report efferently to gather factual information and then engage aesthetically with a feature story to connect with human experiences and narratives.

Rosenblatt's theory is crucial for understanding why different audiences might react differently to the same journalistic content. A news report on a political event, for example, might be interpreted through the lens of a reader's political affiliation and prior knowledge, leading to diverse understandings and emotional responses. Their cultural background, shaped by their habitus⁵, can also influence their preferences and interpretations. This perspective

highlights the importance of media literacy ⁶, enabling readers to actively engage with and critically analyze media texts. Different journalistic genres might also encourage different reading stances. A highly narrative feature story might elicit a more aesthetic reading, focusing on emotional engagement and personal connections, while a factual news report might encourage a more efferent reading, focused on extracting information.

Media Studies Perspectives on Textual Analysis

Beyond the foundational theories of Barthes and Rosenblatt, media studies offers a range of other valuable approaches to analyzing journalistic texts. Semiotics, the study of signs and symbols and their interpretation ⁷, provides tools for decoding the visual and linguistic elements of media and understanding how meanings are constructed.⁷ Analyzing a news photograph, for instance, through a semiotic lens can reveal the connotations of specific visual choices, such as framing, lighting, and subject matter. Narratology, the study of narrative structures and how they create meaning⁷, helps in examining the storytelling conventions employed in journalism. Narratives often follow predictable structures, such as Todorov's theory of equilibrium and disequilibrium ⁷, which can shape our understanding of events presented in news reports. Critical theory, encompassing various approaches like Marxist critique ¹¹, offers frameworks for examining power relations, ideologies, and assumptions embedded in media content.¹¹ It allows for an analysis of how media might reinforce or challenge dominant societal structures and norms, often through repetitive patterns of representation.¹¹

These diverse theoretical lenses provide a rich toolkit for deconstructing the layers of meaning within journalistic texts and understanding how they function within broader social and cultural contexts. They complement Barthes' and Rosenblatt's theories by offering specific methodologies for textual analysis and highlighting the social and cultural dimensions of meaning-making. For example, critical theory can help uncover underlying assumptions and potential biases in the representation of social groups within news coverage ¹², while narratology can illuminate how the framing of a news story influences audience perception of cause and effect.¹⁰

The Multifaceted Problems of Contemporary Media

The theoretical frameworks discussed above provide essential tools for analyzing media, particularly in an era marked by significant challenges and transformations. Contemporary media faces a multitude of problems that impact its role and influence in society.

The Proliferation of Misinformation and Disinformation

One of the most pressing issues in contemporary media is the widespread proliferation of misinformation and disinformation.¹³ Misinformation refers to

false or inaccurate information, often spread unintentionally.¹³ Disinformation, on the other hand, is deliberately misleading information intended to deceive or cause harm.¹³ This distinction is crucial in understanding the intent behind the spread of false narratives. A particularly potent form of disinformation is "fake news," often defined as false or misleading content that mimics the format and style of legitimate journalistic reporting.¹⁶

The digital age has created an environment where such false information can spread with unprecedented speed and reach, often outpacing the efforts of journalists and fact-checkers to correct it.¹⁹ This rapid dissemination poses significant challenges to public trust in reliable sources and can have detrimental effects on democratic processes and public health.¹³ The sheer volume of information available online, including disjointed and often contradictory content³⁰, further complicates the ability of individuals to discern truth from falsehood. The ease with which users can share unverified information on social media platforms, often without even reading the full content³¹, significantly exacerbates this problem. This "shares without clicks" phenomenon indicates a superficial engagement with news, making users more susceptible to misleading headlines and fabricated stories.³¹

The motivations behind the creation and dissemination of misinformation are varied and complex. Political agendas often drive the creation of propaganda and disinformation aimed at influencing public opinion or discrediting opponents.¹² Financial incentives, such as generating clicks and advertising revenue, can lead to the creation of sensational or entirely fabricated "clickbait" content.²⁴ Furthermore, the spread of misinformation is often fueled by the exploitation of inherent human cognitive biases.²⁷ Confirmation bias, for example, leads individuals to seek out and believe information that aligns with their pre-existing beliefs, regardless of its accuracy.³³ The bandwagon effect can also contribute, where people are more likely to believe something if they see that many others believe it.³⁸

Declining Trust in Journalism and Media Institutions

Coupled with the rise of misinformation is a noticeable decline in public trust in journalism and traditional media institutions.²³ This erosion of trust undermines the fundamental role of journalism as a reliable source of information and a crucial pillar of democratic societies. Several factors contribute to this decline. Perceived bias, whether labeled as liberal or conservative²³, can lead audiences to distrust news outlets that do not align with their own viewpoints. Sensationalism, the tendency to emphasize dramatic or shocking stories²³, can also erode credibility. The increasing blurring of lines between objective news reporting and subjective opinion pieces⁴⁷ further complicates the issue, making it difficult for audiences to discern fact from interpretation. The very proliferation of misinformation and

the perception that news outlets sometimes fail to adequately address it also contribute significantly to this decline.¹⁹ Furthermore, the perception that media outlets may prioritize profits over serving the public interest³⁹ can also damage public trust.

Trust levels in media also vary significantly across different demographics and political affiliations.⁵¹ For instance, research suggests that conservatives may exhibit higher levels of trust in sources identified as "fake news" compared to moderates, while liberals may place greater trust in mainstream media and scientific journals.⁵¹ This partisan divide in media consumption and trust²⁶ highlights the increasingly fragmented nature of the media landscape. Younger individuals, those with lower income, and those with lower levels of formal education tend to trust the news less.⁴⁰ This uneven distribution of trust can have significant implications for how different segments of the population access and interpret information.

The Impact of Technology and the Digital Transformation

The digital transformation, driven by the internet and digital platforms, has profoundly impacted every aspect of news consumption, distribution, and production.¹⁹ Audiences are increasingly consuming news online, favoring digital access over traditional offline media.⁶² This shift has fundamentally altered how news is distributed, with digital platforms now serving as primary points of access, a function previously dominated by traditional media companies.⁶²

This digital transformation has also presented significant challenges to the traditional revenue models that sustained journalism for decades.²³ The decline in print advertising and subscriptions has created financial strain for many news organizations²³, leading to downsizing, job cuts, and reduced resources for in-depth reporting and fact-checking.²³ The pressure to compete in a 24/7 news cycle and to produce content quickly for online platforms can sometimes compromise the quality and accuracy of journalistic work.²³ The rise of social media has further transformed news consumption habits, with many, particularly younger individuals, turning to platforms like YouTube and individual content creators as primary sources of information.⁷³ This shift necessitates that journalists adapt to new technologies and platforms, continuously honing their digital skills.²³

Furthermore, algorithms employed by digital platforms play a significant role in shaping news consumption.⁶² These algorithms often determine which content users see, sometimes without transparency⁶², raising concerns about the potential for filter bubbles and echo chambers, where individuals are primarily exposed to information that confirms their existing beliefs.⁶² The emphasis on audience metrics and engagement⁶³ in the digital environment

can also pressure journalists to prioritize content that generates clicks and shares, potentially at the expense of more substantive or critical reporting.

Ethical Dilemmas and Challenges in Journalism

Navigating the complexities of the digital media landscape presents contemporary journalists with numerous ethical dilemmas and challenges.²³ The constant pressure to produce content rapidly to meet the demands of the 24/7 news cycle can sometimes lead to rushed reporting and insufficient fact-checking, potentially compromising accuracy.²³ The use of anonymous sources, while sometimes essential for obtaining crucial information in the public interest, also raises ethical considerations regarding transparency and the potential erosion of public trust if not handled responsibly and with clear justification.⁷⁴ Journalists also grapple with the ongoing debate of balancing their commitment to objectivity and impartiality with the perceived need to advocate for social justice or expose injustices.²⁴

The increasing integration of artificial intelligence into news production introduces a new layer of ethical complexities.⁵⁶ Questions surrounding transparency in how AI is used, accountability for errors or biases in AI-generated content, and the potential for algorithmic bias to perpetuate societal stereotypes and inequalities⁸⁴ are paramount. The lack of inherent journalistic ethics in AI systems⁸³ and the absence of human intuition in its analysis and reporting⁸³ raise concerns about the quality, context, and potential for misrepresentation in AI-driven journalism. Furthermore, the potential displacement of human journalists by AI technologies raises significant ethical considerations regarding the future of the profession and its role in a democratic society.

Theoretical Frameworks for Understanding Journalistic Genres

To further understand the interplay between media theory and the challenges facing the industry, it is crucial to examine the concept of journalistic genres and the theoretical frameworks used to analyze them.

Defining and Categorizing Journalistic Genres

Journalistic genres can be understood as conventional categorizations of recognizable texts or discursive practices within journalism, based on perceived similarities and differences in their characteristics, aims, and structures.³² These genres guide both news producers in creating content and news consumers in understanding the purpose and expected style of that content.⁸⁸ Various approaches exist for categorizing journalistic genres, often based on criteria such as their primary function or purpose (e.g., to inform, to persuade, to entertain), the degree of subjectivity permitted or expected (e.g., news reporting vs. opinion writing), or the mode of composition (e.g., description, narration, argumentation).⁸⁸

Common examples of journalistic genres include news reports, which aim to convey factual information objectively and concisely⁵²; feature articles, which offer more in-depth exploration of topics, often with a focus on storytelling and human interest⁹⁸; editorials and opinion pieces, which express the personal views and analysis of the writer or the publication⁵²; and investigative journalism, which involves in-depth research to uncover hidden truths and expose wrongdoing.⁹⁰ However, in the contemporary media landscape, particularly with the rise of digital platforms, the traditional boundaries between these genres are becoming increasingly blurred.⁵⁵ This fluidity has led to the emergence of hybrid forms that combine elements of different genres, posing new challenges for categorization and analysis.

Theoretical Approaches to Genre Analysis

Genre theory from media studies provides frameworks for understanding how audiences recognize and interpret different types of journalistic texts.⁸⁶ This theory emphasizes the role of shared codes and conventions that are recognized by both producers and consumers of media.⁸⁶ Audiences develop expectations based on their familiarity with these conventions, and these expectations influence their reading and interpretation of the text.⁸⁶ The interplay between repetition (familiar elements that define a genre) and novelty (new or unexpected elements within a genre) is a key aspect of genre theory, explaining how genres evolve over time.⁸⁶

In contemporary journalism, there is a notable trend towards genre fluidity and hybridity.⁵⁵ Traditional genres are increasingly combined and adapted to suit the affordances of digital platforms and the evolving needs of audiences. This hybridization can lead to new forms of journalistic expression but can also challenge traditional understandings of journalistic roles and responsibilities. Theoretical frameworks such as Bourdieu's field theory⁶³ offer valuable perspectives for analyzing journalistic fields and cultures, helping to understand how different journalistic communities operate, establish norms, and compete for recognition within the broader media landscape.⁸⁷ The concept of journalistic ideologies⁸⁷ further highlights the diverse professional values and beliefs that shape the production and reception of journalistic genres.

The Influence of Technology on Genre Conventions

Technology is not merely a tool for disseminating journalistic content; it is actively reshaping the formal and stylistic conventions of different journalistic genres.⁵⁵ The affordances of digital platforms allow for multimedia storytelling, integrating text, images, audio, and video into a single news report, thereby blurring the lines between traditional print and broadcast formats.⁵⁵ The internet has also facilitated real-time updates and continuous reporting, impacting the traditional structure and length of news articles.⁶⁶ Social media

platforms have become integral to newsgathering and dissemination, leading to the rise of "social journalism" and the incorporation of user-generated content into news reports.⁵⁵

Furthermore, technology has enabled the emergence of new digital-native journalistic forms and formats. Data journalism, for example, utilizes data analysis and visualization techniques to uncover insights and present information in visually compelling and interactive ways.⁵⁷ Interactive documentaries and multimedia features allow audiences to explore a topic through a combination of different media and engage with the content in a more immersive manner.⁶⁹ These technological advancements are not only changing how journalists work but also redefining the very nature and characteristics of journalistic genres in the digital age.

The Impact of Technology on Journalistic Genres and Practices

The pervasive influence of technology extends beyond merely altering genre conventions; it is fundamentally transforming journalistic practices and the landscape of news production.

Artificial Intelligence and the Transformation of News Production

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly being integrated into newsrooms, impacting various aspects of news production, from data analysis to content generation.⁵⁶ AI tools are being used for tasks such as sifting through large datasets, identifying patterns, generating routine news reports (e.g., sports scores, financial summaries), fact-checking information, and even personalizing content delivery to audiences.⁷⁷

The potential benefits of AI in journalism include increased efficiency by automating mundane tasks, the ability to process and analyze vast amounts of data quickly, and the capacity to scale content production.⁷⁷ AI can also assist in tasks like transcribing interviews, suggesting story ideas, and optimizing headlines.¹¹⁹ However, this increasing reliance on AI also raises concerns about the quality, accuracy, and ethical implications of AI-generated content. While AI can enhance efficiency, it currently lacks the nuanced understanding, critical thinking, and ethical judgment of human journalists.⁷⁶ The role of journalists is evolving towards that of "digital curators" who manage and verify AI-generated content, ensuring its accuracy and adherence to journalistic standards.⁵⁶

Algorithmic Authorship and the Redefinition of Journalistic Work

The ability of AI to generate text that is increasingly difficult to distinguish from human writing has profound implications for the traditional concept of journalistic authorship and authority.⁸⁰ The question of whether AI can ultimately replace human journalists is a subject of ongoing debate.¹¹⁹ While AI can automate certain aspects of writing and data analysis, it currently lacks

the creativity, emotional intelligence, and ability to conduct original reporting that are central to much of journalistic work.¹²⁰ The role of the journalist is shifting towards managing and verifying AI-generated content, focusing on in-depth reporting, building trust with sources, and providing critical analysis.⁵⁶ Public trust in news might also be negatively affected when AI involvement is perceived, even if the extent of that involvement is not fully understood.¹³⁴ The increasing use of algorithmic authorship also raises ethical challenges related to transparency, accountability, and potential biases.⁸³ It is crucial to ensure transparency about when and how AI is used in news production.¹²¹ Determining accountability for errors or misinformation generated by AI models is a complex issue.⁸⁵ Furthermore, AI systems are trained on data that may contain existing societal biases, which can be perpetuated or even amplified in the content they generate.⁸³

Hybrid Journalistic Genres in the Digital Age

Technological advancements are also facilitating the creation of new and innovative hybrid journalistic genres that blend traditional elements with the unique affordances of digital platforms.⁶⁸ Data journalism, for instance, combines data analysis and visualization with narrative storytelling to uncover insights and present complex information in engaging ways.⁶⁹ Interactive documentaries and multimedia features integrate various media formats, allowing audiences to explore topics in a more immersive and personalized manner.⁶⁹ Social media platforms are increasingly integrated into news reporting, with journalists using them for real-time updates, engaging with audiences directly, and incorporating user-generated content into their stories.⁶⁹ These hybrid forms often blur the traditional lines between genres, requiring journalists to develop new skills in multimedia production, digital storytelling, and audience engagement. The rise of podcasts and digital audio, as well as email newsletters, also represents a shift towards different formats for news delivery.⁷⁰

The Challenge of Misinformation: A Genre in Itself?

Given the significant impact of misinformation on the media landscape, it is pertinent to consider whether it can be conceptualized as a genre in itself.

Defining Misinformation as a Potential Genre

There is a growing argument that misinformation, particularly when intentionally crafted to closely resemble the formats and styles of traditional journalistic reporting, can be considered a distinct genre of its own, often referred to as "fake news".¹⁶ This "genre" is characterized by several key features, including a low degree of facticity (the information presented is false or inaccurate), a deliberate intent to deceive the reader into believing the

falsehood, and a presentation that mimics the visual and structural elements of legitimate journalistic outlets.¹⁶

The deliberate mimicry of journalistic conventions is a defining characteristic of this potential genre. "Fake news" often employs headlines, website layouts, and even source citations that are designed to closely resemble those used by established and trusted news organizations.¹⁸ This strategic imitation aims to mislead audiences and lend a false sense of credibility to the fabricated information. The crucial element that distinguishes this from unintentional errors in reporting or from satire is the underlying intent to deceive.¹⁸ While satire uses mimicry for humorous or critical purposes with the expectation that the audience will recognize the exaggeration, "fake news" aims to be taken as genuine and factual. The proliferation of such content poses a significant threat to the credibility of legitimate journalism, as it can erode public trust and make it increasingly difficult for individuals to distinguish between reliable and unreliable sources of information.

Journalistic Strategies for Combating Misinformation

In response to the challenge posed by misinformation, journalism is actively adapting and developing strategies focused on verification and debunking.¹³⁶ Fact-checking organizations and the emergence of debunking news articles are becoming increasingly prominent features of the contemporary media landscape.¹³⁸ Fact-checking involves systematically verifying the accuracy of claims made in news reports and by public figures.¹³⁷ Debunking goes a step further by actively refuting false information and providing evidence or factual information to the contrary.¹³⁷

Various strategies are employed in the debunking process. One notable example is the "truth sandwich" approach, which involves starting with the correct information, briefly mentioning the falsehood, and then immediately returning to and reinforcing the truth.¹³⁷ This method aims to frame the truth first to give it an advantage in memory retention. However, research suggests that simply stating something is false may not be effective, and more detailed corrections that provide context and evidence are often necessary.¹⁴³ Journalists are also exploring ways to engage audiences in the process of correcting misinformation, such as encouraging commentary and thoughtful participation.¹⁴³ Despite these efforts, effectively countering misinformation remains a complex challenge, as repeated exposure to false claims can lead to an "illusory truth effect," making people more likely to believe them, even if they know they are false.³⁷

The Evolving Role of Journalists in the Fight Against Misinformation

The responsibility of journalists in the digital age is expanding beyond simply reporting the news accurately; it increasingly includes the crucial task of identifying, reporting on, and actively debunking misinformation.¹³⁷ This new

responsibility requires journalists to develop specialized skills in verification, digital forensics, and effective communication strategies for addressing false narratives.¹⁴⁰ Many journalists now see themselves as having a direct role in combating the spread of falsehoods and acting as arbiters of fact in an increasingly complex information environment.¹³⁷

Furthermore, there is a growing recognition of the importance of media literacy education in empowering audiences to critically evaluate the information they encounter and to develop their own resilience against misinformation.⁶ By equipping the public with the skills to identify unreliable sources, understand the tactics used to spread misinformation, and critically assess the information they consume, journalism can contribute to a more informed and discerning citizenry. This collaborative approach, where journalists actively combat misinformation and empower the public to do the same, is crucial in safeguarding the integrity of the information ecosystem.

Trust, Transparency, and the Future of Journalistic Authority

In the face of declining trust and the proliferation of misinformation, the future of journalistic authority hinges on how journalists and news organizations adapt their practices.

Factors Influencing Public Trust in News

Public trust in news is a multifaceted phenomenon influenced by a wide range of factors related to both the content and the process of journalism, as well as the characteristics and predispositions of the audience.⁴⁰ Accuracy is paramount; audiences consistently rank it as one of the most important factors in determining whether they trust a news source.¹⁴⁷ Fairness and impartiality in reporting are also crucial, as perceptions of bias can significantly erode trust.⁴⁰ Transparency about how news is gathered, verified, and produced can also play a vital role in building confidence among audiences.¹⁴⁹ Furthermore, the extent to which audiences feel that news outlets represent diverse communities and their concerns fairly can significantly impact trust levels.⁴⁰

However, trust in news is not uniform across the population. It varies based on reader demographics, such as age, income, and education.⁴⁰ Political affiliation is a particularly strong predictor of trust, with individuals often exhibiting greater trust in news sources that align with their own political viewpoints.⁵¹ Prior experiences with news outlets and the overall level of trust in other institutions in society can also influence how individuals perceive the trustworthiness of journalistic sources.⁴⁰

The Role and Limitations of Transparency in Building Media Trust

Transparency in journalistic processes is often advocated as an effective strategy for enhancing public trust.¹⁴⁸ The rationale is that by providing

audiences with insights into how news is made – explaining the reporting process, detailing how sources are vetted, and disclosing potential biases – news organizations can demonstrate their commitment to accuracy and fairness.¹⁴⁹ This increased openness aims to foster a sense of accountability and allow the public to make informed judgments about the reliability of the journalism they consume.¹⁵²

However, the effectiveness of transparency in building media trust is not without its limitations.¹⁵⁴ There is a risk of information overload if news organizations provide excessive detail about their processes.¹⁵⁶ Furthermore, audiences who are already deeply distrustful of a particular news outlet may not necessarily believe that outlet's own account of its practices.¹⁵¹ Transparency can also be strategically misused or become a form of "theatre" without leading to genuine accountability.¹⁵¹ Moreover, trust in media is influenced by a complex interplay of factors, including political and cultural contexts, and transparency alone may not be sufficient to overcome deeply ingrained skepticism or address issues such as perceived bias in reporting.¹⁵¹

The Future of Journalistic Authority in the Digital Age

In an era characterized by misinformation and fragmented audiences, the future of journalistic authority depends on the ability of journalists and news organizations to adapt their practices and rebuild trust.²⁴ Prioritizing accuracy and adhering to high ethical standards remain fundamental. However, actively engaging with communities, listening to their concerns, and striving to represent diverse perspectives fairly are also crucial for fostering trust.¹⁴⁷ Clearly distinguishing between news reporting and opinion content is essential for managing audience expectations.⁴⁷ Furthermore, embracing transparency by explaining journalistic processes and being open about corrections can contribute to building credibility.¹⁴⁹

The potential for new forms of journalistic authority may also lie in embracing accountability and fostering deeper engagement with the public. This could involve actively soliciting feedback, responding to audience concerns, and even involving the community in certain aspects of news reporting.¹⁴⁹ In the digital age, where authority is no longer solely derived from institutional power, it must be earned through consistent adherence to journalistic values, a commitment to truth-seeking, and a genuine effort to serve the public interest.

Integrating Theory, Problems, and Genres: Towards New Research Directions

The preceding analysis highlights the intricate and dynamic relationships between the theory of work and text, the problems of media, and journalistic genres in the contemporary media landscape. These interconnected concepts provide fertile ground for future scholarly inquiry. Considering the insights gleaned from the literature, the following are potential titles for three distinct academic papers suitable for Oxford academic publications:

1. *Deconstructing the "Text" of Misinformation: A Barthesian Analysis of Genre Mimicry and Reader Engagement in the Digital Age.*
 - This title proposes an exploration of how misinformation, particularly in its "fake news" guise, functions as a "text" in the Barthesian sense by mimicking the recognizable genres of legitimate journalism. It would analyze the specific textual strategies employed to engage readers and the implications of this mimicry for reader interpretation, trust, and the broader information ecosystem.
2. *The Transactional Divide: How Reader Trust and Genre Expectations Shape the Interpretation of Journalistic Texts Amidst a Crisis of Media Credibility.*
 - This title integrates Rosenblatt's transactional theory with the contemporary problem of declining trust in media. It suggests an investigation into how readers' pre-existing levels of trust in different journalistic genres (e.g., news reports, opinion pieces, investigative features) influence their interpretation and evaluation of media content, particularly in an environment saturated with misinformation and skepticism towards traditional sources.
3. *Algorithmic Genres: Remaking Journalistic Forms and Challenging the Theory of Work in the Age of Artificial Intelligence.*
 - This title focuses on the impact of technology, specifically artificial intelligence, on journalistic genres and the theoretical underpinnings of media creation and interpretation. It proposes an examination of how AI is contributing to the emergence of new "algorithmic genres" of news content and how this transformation challenges traditional notions of authorship, textuality as defined by Barthes, and the very nature of journalistic work in an increasingly automated media landscape.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the relationship between the theory of work and text, the problems of media, and journalistic genres is a complex and evolving one, particularly in the context of the digital age. Theoretical frameworks from Barthes and Rosenblatt provide valuable lenses for understanding how media content is created and interpreted, while the challenges of misinformation, declining trust, and technological disruption continue to shape the media landscape. Journalistic genres, as both structural forms and reflections of professional norms, are constantly being influenced and reshaped by these forces. The increasing integration of artificial intelligence further complicates these dynamics, raising profound questions about authorship, ethics, and the future of journalistic authority. Understanding these interconnected relationships is crucial for navigating the challenges and opportunities that lie

ahead for journalism and media studies in this dynamic and ever-changing field. Continued scholarly inquiry into these areas is essential for fostering a more informed, resilient, and trustworthy media ecosystem.

Characteristic	"Work"	"Text"
Method	Concrete object, can be handled	Meaning taken by the reader, not a definite object
Genre	Adheres to rigid classifications	Unclassifiable
Signs	Complete, comprehensive, signified meaning	Incomplete, metonymic, arbitrary, undetermined signifiers
Plurality	Closure, literal interpretation	Open-ended, multitude of associations, deeply symbolic
Filiation	Defined by author, affiliated with author	Untraceable, unity lies in the reader
Reading	Passive consumption, commodity	Active, collaborative reading, free play
Pleasure	Boredom if not engaged	Pleasure through active participation in meaning creation

Problem of Media	Description	Relevant Snippet IDs
Misinformation and Disinformation	False or inaccurate information (misinformation) and intentionally misleading information (disinformation) that spreads rapidly, often mimicking journalistic formats.	¹³
Declining Trust in Journalism and Media Institutions	Erosion of public confidence in mainstream media due to factors like perceived bias, sensationalism, and the proliferation of misinformation.	²³
Impact of Technology and the Digital Transformation	Fundamental changes in news consumption, distribution, and production due to the internet and digital platforms, along with challenges to traditional revenue models.	¹⁹
Ethical Dilemmas and Challenges in Journalism	Complex ethical considerations arising from the pressure to produce content quickly, the use of anonymous sources, the balance between objectivity and advocacy, and the integration of artificial intelligence.	²³

Journalistic Genre	Characteristics	Theoretical Frameworks
News Report	Objective, factual, concise, answers Who, What, When, Where, Why, How	Mirror theory, objectivity paradigm
Feature Article	In-depth, narrative, explores trends, events, people, more creative expression	Narrative theory, human interest
Editorial	Opinionated, expresses the view of the publication	Persuasion, argumentation
Opinion Piece/Column	Subjective, personal views, analysis, commentary	Persuasion, argumentation, authorial voice
Investigative Journalism	In-depth research, exposes unethical or illegal behavior	Watchdog role, critical theory
Data Journalism	Uses data analysis and visualization to tell stories	Computational journalism
Interactive Storytelling	Engages audience through interactive elements	Digital humanities, user experience
Social Media Reporting	Utilizes social media for newsgathering and dissemination	Network theory, participatory journalism
Debunking News	Focuses on verifying and refuting misinformation	Fact-checking, media literacy

Potential Impacts of AI on Journalism	Benefits	Negative Impacts
Efficiency & Productivity	Automates routine tasks, speeds up data analysis, aids in content summarization and transcription ⁷⁷	May lead to job displacement for journalists ¹²⁶
Data Analysis & Insights	Processes large datasets, identifies trends, aids investigative reporting ⁷⁷	Risk of algorithmic bias based on training data ⁸³
Content Generation	Creates drafts, suggests headlines, automates routine reports ⁷⁶	Generates inaccurate, generic, or biased content ⁸³
Personalization & Distribution	Tailors content recommendations, automates language translation ⁷⁶	May create filter bubbles, raises ethical questions about data privacy

Fact-Checking & Verification	Assists in identifying misinformation and verifying information ⁵⁸	Over-reliance may reduce critical thinking and human oversight ¹¹⁰
Accessibility	Enables text-to-speech, facilitates multilingual news ⁷⁶	May not accurately capture nuances in language or context ¹²⁶

Journalistic Strategies for Combating Misinformation	Description	Relevant Snippet IDs
Fact-Checking	Verifying the accuracy of claims made in news and by public figures.	136
Debunking	Actively refuting false information and providing evidence to the contrary.	136
Media Literacy Education	Empowering the public with critical thinking skills to evaluate information.	6
Transparency Initiatives	Explaining journalistic processes to build trust.	149
Collaboration with Platforms	Working with social media companies to reduce the spread of misinformation.	146
Promoting Trusted Sources	Emphasizing credible sources for accurate information.	146
Repeated Debunking	Correcting misinformation often through trusted channels.	146
Engaging Audiences	Involving the public in the fact-checking and correction process.	143

References

1. From Work to Text Roland Barthes It is a fact that over the last few years a certain change has taken place (or is taking place), https://worrydream.com/refs/Barthes_1971_-_From_Work_to_Text.pdf
2. From Work To Text | PDF | Philosophical Movements | Philosophical ..., <https://www.scribd.com/document/497603389/From-Work-To-Text>
3. Roland Barthes, https://www.d.umn.edu/~cstroupe/handouts/8500/barthes_work_to_text.pdf
4. The Reader, the Text, the Poem: The Transactional Theory of the ..., <https://www.amazon.com/Reader-Text-Poem-Transactional-Literary/dp/0809318059>

5. Media studies - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Media_studies
6. 1.3: Media Literacy and Media Studies Research - Social Sci LibreTexts, [https://socialsci.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Communication/Journalism_and_Mass_Communication/Book%3A_Media_Society_Culture_and_You_\(Poepfel\)/01%3A_Chapters/1.03%3A_Media_Literacy_and_Media_Studies_Research](https://socialsci.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Communication/Journalism_and_Mass_Communication/Book%3A_Media_Society_Culture_and_You_(Poepfel)/01%3A_Chapters/1.03%3A_Media_Literacy_and_Media_Studies_Research)
7. Theorists and theories - A-Level Media Studies, <http://lr-media.blogspot.com/2018/09/theorists-and-theories.html>
8. Roland Barthes - the Signification Process and Myths - Media Studies, <https://media-studies.com/barthes/>
9. Roland Barthes - (Intro to Literary Theory) - Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, <https://library.fiveable.me/key-terms/introduction-to-literary-theory/roland-barthes>
10. Working Theory of Communication - Occasionally Wright - TypePad, https://occasionallywright.typepad.com/occasionally_wright/2004/09/working_theory_.html
11. Analysing Texts: Media and Theory – Media Studies 101 - BC Open Textbooks, <https://opentextbc.ca/mediastudies101/chapter/analysing-texts-media-and-theory/>
12. 2.2 Media Studies Theories – ACC Introduction to Mass Media - CCCS Open Textbooks, <https://pressbooks.ccconline.org/accintrotomedia/chapter/chapter-3-media-studies-research/>
13. Misinformation and disinformation - American Psychological Association, <https://www.apa.org/topics/journalism-facts/misinformation-disinformation>
14. Misinformation - Wikipedia, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Misinformation>
15. What's the difference between Misinformation and Disinformation? - Insights blog, <https://insights.taylorandfrancis.com/social-justice/misinformation-vs-disinformation/>
16. Fake News as a Two-Dimensional Phenomenon: A Framework and Research Agenda - Oxford Academic, <https://academic.oup.com/anncom/article/43/2/97/7905967>
17. Misinformation, Disinformation, and Fake News: Lessons from an Interdisciplinary, Systematic Literature Review - Oxford Academic, <https://academic.oup.com/anncom/article/48/2/139/7762216>
18. Full article: Fake News Makes the News: Definitions and Framing of Fake News in Mainstream Media - Taylor & Francis Online, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17512786.2024.2379898>
19. The Various Aspects and Challenges Associated with Mass Media - Longdom Publishing SL, <https://www.longdom.org/open-access->

[pdfs/the-various-aspects-and-challenges-associated-with-mass-media.pdf](#)

20. Social Media Impact: How Social Media Sites Affect Society | American Public University, <https://www.apu.apus.edu/area-of-study/business-and-management/resources/how-social-media-sites-affect-society/>
21. The Pros And Cons Of Mass Media | Walden University, <https://www.waldenu.edu/online-bachelors-programs/bs-in-communication/resource/the-pros-and-cons-of-mass-media>
22. 2. Views of social media and its impacts on society - Pew Research Center, <https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2022/12/06/views-of-social-media-and-its-impacts-on-society-in-advanced-economies-2022/>
23. Key Challenges Confronting Modern Journalists - Propel PRM, <https://www.propelmypr.com/blog/key-challenges-confronting-modern-journalists>
24. Top Challenges Faced by Journalists Today: Insights & Solutions - Yellowbrick, <https://www.yellowbrick.co/blog/journalism/top-challenges-faced-by-journalists-today-insights-solutions>
25. Five Challenges for Journalism - Information Saves Lives | Internews, <https://internews.org/blog/five-challenges-for-journalism/>
26. Journalists Sense Turmoil in Their Industry Amid Continued Passion for Their Work, <https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/2022/06/14/journalists-sense-turmoil-in-their-industry-amid-continued-passion-for-their-work/>
27. Full article: Misinformation, disinformation, and fake news: lessons from an interdisciplinary, systematic literature review - Taylor & Francis Online, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23808985.2024.2323736>
28. How the fake news genre relates to other concepts. - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/How-the-fake-news-genre-relates-to-other-concepts_fig2_332302211
29. Explained: What is Fake news? | Social Media and Filter Bubbles - Webwise, <https://www.webwise.ie/teachers/what-is-fake-news/>
30. The Role of the Media in the Construction of Public Belief and Social Change, <https://jspp.psychopen.eu/index.php/jspp/article/view/4761/4761.html>
31. Spreading Misinformation with Careless Sharing - UF College of Journalism and Communications - University of Florida, <https://www.jou.ufl.edu/insights/spreading-misinformation-with-careless-sharing/>
32. 8.1: Types of Journalism - Social Sci LibreTexts, https://socialsci.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Communication/Journalism_and_Mass_Communication/The_American_Journalism_Handbook_-

[Concepts Issues and Skills \(Zamith\)/08%3A Preparing a News Story/8.01%3A Types of Journalism](#)

33. The Impact of Cognitive Biases on the Believability of Fake News - ResearchGate,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374724613_The_Impact_of_Cognitive_Biases_on_the_Believability_of_Fake_News
34. Processing of misinformation as motivational and cognitive biases - Frontiers,
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1430953/full>
35. Psychological factors contributing to the creation and dissemination of fake news among social media users: a systematic review - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11575416/>
36. What psychological factors make people susceptible to believe and act on misinformation?, <https://www.apa.org/topics/journalism-facts/misinformation-belief-action>
37. Fake news: Why do we believe it? - PMC - PubMed Central,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9548403/>
38. Psychological Factors Behind the Spread of Fake News - Cyabra,
<https://cyabra.com/blog/psychological-effect-of-disinformation/>
39. Current Problems in the Media - The Daily Source,
<https://www.dailysource.org/about/problems>
40. Public perspectives on trust in news | Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism,
<https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/digital-news-report/2024/public-perspectives-trust-news>
41. Trust in Media and journalism credibility in the sea of misinformation,
<https://informationethics.ca/index.php/irre/article/view/500>
42. Lack of trust in the news media, institutional weakness, and relational journalism as a potential way forward | Request PDF - ResearchGate,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328391237_Lack_of_trust_in_the_news_media_institutional_weakness_and_relational_journalism_as_a_potential_way_forward
43. News Media Trust and its Impact on Media Use: Toward a Framework for Future Research - Oxford Academic,
<https://academic.oup.com/anncom/article/44/2/139/7906093>
44. Public Trust in Journalism An Annotated Bibliography | Centre for Media Transition - University of Technology Sydney,
<https://www.uts.edu.au/globalassets/sites/default/files/article/downloads/trust-in-journalism-biblio.pdf>
45. Our new recipe for talking about “public trust” in media - Membership Puzzle Project,
<https://membershippuzzle.org/our-new-recipe/>

46. Distinguishing news from opinion can help strengthen trust in the media | George W. Bush Presidential Center, <https://www.bushcenter.org/publications/distinguishing-news-from-opinion-can-help-strengthen-trust-in-the-media>
47. Confusion about what's news and what's opinion is a big problem, but journalists can help solve it - American Press Institute, <https://americanpressinstitute.org/confusion-about-whats-news-and-whats-opinion-is-a-big-problem-but-journalists-can-help-solve-it/>
48. How to combat fake news and disinformation - Brookings Institution, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/how-to-combat-fake-news-and-disinformation/>
49. Trust and the Media: The Problems & Potential Solutions - Fallston Group, <https://fallstongroup.com/trust-and-the-media-the-problems-potential-solutions/>
50. 2.2 Media Effects Theories | Media and Culture - Lumen Learning, <https://courses.lumenlearning.com/suny-massmedia/chapter/2-2-media-effects-theories/>
51. (PDF) Demographic factors and trust in different news sources - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330812712_Demographic_factors_and_trust_in_different_news_sources
52. News vs. Opinion: Uncovering the Truth in Journalism - Yellowbrick, <https://www.yellowbrick.co/blog/journalism/news-vs-opinion-uncovering-the-truth-in-journalism>
53. Trust in news varies by source and demographics - Digital Content Next, <https://digitalcontentnext.org/blog/2024/08/13/trust-in-news-varies-by-source-and-demographics/>
54. Trust in Media 2024: Which news sources Americans trust — and which they think lean left or right | YouGov, <https://today.yougov.com/politics/articles/49552-trust-in-media-2024-which-news-outlets-americans-trust>
55. Journalism Journeys: Navigating the World of News - University of the Cumberland, <https://www.ucumberland.edu/blog/journalism-journeys-navigating-the-world-of-news>
56. Digital transformation in journalism: mini review on the impact of AI on journalistic practices, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/communication/articles/10.3389/fcomm.2025.1535156/full>
57. The Impact of Technology on Journalism - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233703670_The_Impact_of_Technology_on_Journalism

58. Impact of Technology on the Media and Journalism Industry - AAFT, <https://aافت.com/blog/mass-communication/impact-of-technology-on-the-media-and-journalism-industry/>
59. Digital Media and Paradigm Changes in Journalism, <https://ijpsat.org/index.php/ijpsat/article/download/6317/4031>
60. (PDF) Influence of Technology on Journalism - Working Model Digital Clout - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357605169_Influence_of_Technology_on_Journalism_-_Working_Model_Digital_Clout
61. "Technology and Journalism" in - Rodrigo Zamith, <https://www.rodrigozamith.com/pubs/Zamith%20Braun%20-%20Technology%20and%20Journalism.pdf>
62. The Impact of Digital Platforms on News and Journalistic Content - ACCC, [https://www.accc.gov.au/system/files/ACCC+commissioned+report+-+The+impact+of+digital+platforms+on+news+and+journalistic+content,+Centre+for+Media+Transition+\(2\).pdf](https://www.accc.gov.au/system/files/ACCC+commissioned+report+-+The+impact+of+digital+platforms+on+news+and+journalistic+content,+Centre+for+Media+Transition+(2).pdf)
63. Full article: Digitalizing the Journalistic Field: Journalists' Views on Changes in Journalistic Autonomy, Capital and Habitus - Taylor & Francis Online, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21670811.2022.2062406>
64. Journalism, media, and technology trends and predictions 2024 - Reuters Institute, <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/journalism-media-and-technology-trends-and-predictions-2024>
65. Digital technologies and the future of journalism - Center for Innovation & Sustainability in Local Media, <https://www.cislm.org/digital-technologies-and-the-future-of-journalism/>
66. New Technologies Challenging the Practice of Journalism and The Impact of Education: Case of Northern Cyprus, <https://www.ejmste.com/download/new-technologies-challenging-the-practice-of-journalism-and-the-impact-of-education-case-of-northern-5133.pdf>
67. Spurring or Blurring Professional Standards? The Role of Digital Technology in Implementing Journalistic Role Ideals in Contempo, https://eprints.bournemouth.ac.uk/40174/1/Spurring%20or%20Blurring%20Professional%20Standards_Main%20Document.pdf
68. (PDF) The Hybrid Journalism That We Do Not Recognize (Anymore) - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349496821_The_Hybrid_Journalism_That_We_Do_Not_Recognize_Anymore
69. The Hybrid Journalism That We Do Not Recognize (Anymore) - MDPI, <https://www.mdpi.com/2673-5172/2/1/4>

70. Journalism, media, and technology trends and predictions 2022 - Reuters Institute, <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/journalism-media-and-technology-trends-and-predictions-2022>
71. 5 Biggest Challenges Journalists Face Today - Cision, <https://www.cision.com/resources/articles/5-biggest-challenges-journalists-face-today/>
72. Five Things Everybody Needs to Know about the Future of Journalism - Reuters Institute, <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/news/five-things-everybody-needs-know-about-future-journalism>
73. a rant about journalism today : r/Journalism - Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/Journalism/comments/1cez96u/a_rant_about_journalism_today/
74. Best Practices: Anonymous Sources - Ethics and Journalism, <https://ethicsandjournalism.org/resources/best-practices/best-practices-anonymous-sources/>
75. Levels of Attribution - Oxford University Press, <https://global.oup.com/us/companion.websites/9780190200886/student/chapter10/gline/level/>
76. The Intersection of Artificial Intelligence and Journalism: A New Era of Reporting, <https://thesciencesurvey.com/editorial/2025/03/07/the-intersection-of-artificial-intelligence-and-journalism-a-new-era-of-reporting/>
77. Navigating the New Frontier: A Comprehensive Review of AI in Journalism, <https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=130552>
78. Artificial Intelligence and Investigative Journalism: A Critical Discourse, https://www.ijssar.com/uploads/402862_1743453075.pdf
79. Exploring AI Amid the Hype: A Critical Reflection Around the Applications and Implications of AI in Journalism - MDPI, <https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4698/15/2/23>
80. Redefining news with AI - Moody College of Communication, <https://moody.utexas.edu/news/redefining-news-ai>
81. How AI is Redefining Journalism with Key Trends that are Shaping the Future of News, <https://cryptorank.io/news/feed/e0646-how-ai-is-redefining-journalism-with-key-trends-that-are-shaping-the-future-of-news>
82. Redefining journalism in the age of AI - RMIT Vietnam, <https://www.rmit.edu.vn/news/all-news/2024/jun/redefining-journalism-in-the-age-of-ai>
83. Dangers and limitations of AI in journalism - Purple, <https://www.purplepublish.com/en/blog/dangers-and-limitations-ai-in-journalism>

84. Is AI Bias in Journalism Inherently Bad? Relationship Between Bias, Objectivity, and Meaning in the Age of Artificial Intelligence - CSUSB ScholarWorks,
<https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1010&context=comm-publications>
85. Opinion: Navigating Accountability, the Roles and Risks of Using AI in Journalism, <https://redlineproject.news/2024/11/09/opinion-navigating-accountability-the-roles-and-risks-of-using-ai-in-journalism/>
86. Rough Guide to Media Theory | - Brianair - WordPress.com,
<https://brianair.wordpress.com/film-theory/rough-guide-to-media-theory/>
87. News as Genre | Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication,
<https://oxfordre.com/communication/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613-e-0228613.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228613-e-844?d=%2F10.1093%2Facrefore%2F9780190228613.001.0001%2Facrefore-9780190228613-e-844&p=emailAMwcvDNo.250U>
88. Journalism genres,
<https://www.learn.ciberimaginario.es/mod/resource/view.php?id=226>
89. (PDF) Journalistic genre - ResearchGate,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337622512_Journalistic_genre
90. Journalism genres - Wikipedia,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Journalism_genres
91. Journalistic genre (Culture Coverage) | DOCA - Database of Variables for Content Analysis, <https://www.hope.uzh.ch/doca/article/view/2632>
92. Journalistic Writing and Style | Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication,
<https://oxfordre.com/communication/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228613-e-884?d=%2F10.1093%2Facrefore%2F9780190228613.001.0001%2Facrefore-9780190228613-e-884&p=emailASY1fyYGZlAP2>
93. Specific Features of Journalism Genres,
<https://geniusjournals.org/index.php/erb/article/download/261/229>
94. Genre And Format: Difference Of Notions | European Proceedings,
<https://www.europeanproceedings.com/article/10.15405/epsbs.2019.12.04.237>
95. Journalistic genres and formats: a classification model - SciELO,
<https://www.scielo.br/j/interc/a/YYXs6KPXhp8d7pRvJvnRjDR/?format=pdf&lang=en>
96. Journalistic Writing | Types & Techniques - Storyboard That,
<https://www.storyboardthat.com/genres/journalistic>

97. Understanding the Key Genres of Journalism - Margaret Maloney, <https://margaretmaloney.org/modern-journalism/genres-of-journalism/>
98. What are the Different Genres in Journalistic Writing? - Yellowbrick, <https://www.yellowbrick.co/blog/journalism/what-are-the-different-genres-in-journalistic-writing>
99. Journalism genres and article types - Media Guide, <https://mediaguide.fi/mediaguide/journalism-genres-and-article-types/>
100. 5 Different Forms of Journalism - Writer's Digest, <https://www.writersdigest.com/write-better-nonfiction/5-different-forms-of-journalism>
101. News as Genre | Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication, <https://oxfordre.com/communication/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228613-e-844?p=emailAwxBGa6wKdKXQ&d=/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228613-e-844>
102. Investigative Long-Form Journalism: Techniques and Best Practices - Yellowbrick, <https://www.yellowbrick.co/blog/journalism/investigative-long-form-journalism-techniques-and-best-practices>
103. TYPOLOGY OF JOURNALISM GENRES: MODERN THEORETICAL DISCUSSIONS, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/347056787 TYPOLOGY_OF_JOURNALISM_GENRES_MODERN_THEORETICAL_DISCUSSIONS
104. Journalism as a Field | Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication, <https://oxfordre.com/communication/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228613-e-906?d=%2F10.1093%2Facrefore%2F9780190228613.001.0001%2Facrefore-9780190228613-e-906&p=emailAQf%2F4FUu0IE3M>
105. Convergence in/of Journalism | Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication, <https://oxfordre.com/communication/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228613-e-793?p=emailAWeTrHoP4YSD6&d=/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228613-e-793>
106. The Impact of Technology on Journalism - Taylor & Francis Online, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14616700050028226>
107. Internet in the Daily Life of Journalists: Explaining the use of the Internet by Work-Related Characteristics and Professional Opinions | Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication | Oxford Academic, <https://academic.oup.com/jcmc/article/15/1/138/4064818>

108. THE POSITIVE IMPACT OF MODERN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES ON JOURNALISTIC PRODUCTION. THE CASE OF WRITTEN PRESS, https://www.ijcr.eu/articole/435_005%20Georgeta%20STEPANOV.pdf
109. Journalism and the adoption of a hybrid work model | Eidosmedia, <https://www.eidosmedia.com/updater/tecnology/Hybrid-working-becomes-the-norm>
110. AI in the newsroom: What researchers learned from the AP and the BBC - The Journalist's Resource, <https://journalistsresource.org/home/ai-ap-bbc/>
111. AI and the Future of News 2025: what we learnt about how its impact on coverage, newsrooms and society - Reuters Institute - University of Oxford, <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/news/ai-and-future-news-2025-what-we-learnt-about-how-its-impact-coverage-newsrooms-and-society>
112. Artificial Intelligence in the News: How AI Retools, Rationalizes, and Reshapes Journalism and the Public Arena, https://www.cjr.org/tow_center_reports/artificial-intelligence-in-the-news.php
113. Examples of AI-Generated News Articles | Restackio, <https://www.restack.io/p/ai-for-news-generation-answer-examples-of-ai-generated-news-articles-cat-ai>
114. AI-Written Content Now Dominates 60% of News Articles—What It Means for Media in 2025, <https://pearllemon.com/ai-written-content-dominates-news-articles/>
115. AI-Generated Content Examples: Unlocking Creative Potential - Meltwater, <https://www.meltwater.com/en/blog/ai-generated-content-examples>
116. Content Built With AI: 9 Newsworthy Examples - Verve Search, <https://www.vervesearch.com/10-newsworthy-ai-content-examples/>
117. Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Media Between Empowerment and Bias, <https://trendsresearch.org/insight/artificial-intelligence-and-the-future-of-media-between-empowerment-and-bias-opportunities-challenges-risks-and-neutrality/>
118. Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalism: A Comprehensive Review of AI in Journalism, <https://jcoma.com/index.php/JCM/article/download/131/122>
119. How Journalism Can Survive AI - The College Contemporary, <https://collegecontemporary.com/how-journalism-can-survive-ai/>
120. Why AI Will Never Replace Writers and Journalists - Delray Beach Chamber of Commerce, <https://delraybeach.com/2023/05/10/3533240/>

121. Key AI concepts to grasp in a new hybrid journalism era: transparency, autonomy, and authorship - Reuters Institute, <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/key-ai-concepts-grasp-new-hybrid-journalism-era-transparency-autonomy-and-authorship>
122. Full article: Navigating the Research Landscape of Algorithm-Driven Journalism: A Systematic Literature Review of Authorship, Research Trends, and Future Research Pathways - Taylor & Francis Online, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1461670X.2024.2446326?af=R>
123. Algorithmic News: Towards a Principle-based Artificial Intelligence (AI) Theoretical Framework of - Profesional de la información, <https://revista.profesionaldelainformacion.com/index.php/EPI/article/download/87621/63590/298703>
124. The Effects of AI Authorship and Evaluative Information on the Perception of a Science Journalism Article - OSF, <https://osf.io/m9exr/download>
125. People Trust Human Journalists Over Algorithms, <https://www.jou.ufl.edu/insights/people-trust-human-journalists-over-algorithms/>
126. Journalism needs better representation to counter AI - Brookings Institution, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/journalism-needs-better-representation-to-counter-ai/>
127. Can journalism survive AI? - Brookings Institution, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/can-journalism-survive-ai/>
128. Can AI replace journalists and authors? - ScienceNorway, <https://partner.sciencenorway.no/artificial-intelligence-information-technology-journalism/can-ai-replace-journalists-and-authors/2146341>
129. Tow Report: "Artificial Intelligence in the News" and How AI Reshapes Journalism and the Public Arena, <https://journalism.columbia.edu/news/tow-report-artificial-intelligence-news-and-how-ai-reshapes-journalism-and-public-arena>
130. Editorial: AI is a tool, not the future of journalism - The Oracle, <https://archeroracle.org/103405/opinion/editorial-ai-is-a-tool-not-the-future-of-journalism/>
131. AI in the Newsroom: Professor Studying Responsible Uses, <https://ai.northwestern.edu/news-events/articles/2023/ai-in-the-newsroom-professor-studying-responsible-uses.html>
132. Smart Ways Journalists Can Exploit Artificial Intelligence - Nieman Reports, <https://niemanreports.org/artificial-intelligence-newsrooms/>
133. at least journalism is one of the only jobs that won't be replaced by AI - Reddit,

- https://www.reddit.com/r/Journalism/comments/1dtc834/at_least_journalism_is_one_of_the_only_jobs_that/
134. news.ku.edu, <https://news.ku.edu/news/article/study-finds-readers-trust-news-less-when-ai-is-involved-even-when-they-dont-understand-to-what-extent#:~:text=New%20research%20from%20the%20University,fully%20understand%20what%20it%20contributed.>
 135. Study finds readers trust news less when AI is involved, even when they don't understand to what extent, <https://news.ku.edu/news/article/study-finds-readers-trust-news-less-when-ai-is-involved-even-when-they-dont-understand-to-what-extent>
 136. Debunking News as a Journalistic Genre: From the Inverted Pyramid to a Circular Writing Model | Herrero-Diz | International Journal of Communication, <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/21570>
 137. Debunking News as a Journalistic Genre - International Journal of Communication, <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/download/21570/4527>
 138. (PDF) Debunking News as a Journalistic Genre: From the Inverted Pyramid to a Circular Writing Model - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378234330_Debunking_News_as_a_Journalistic_Genre_From_the_Inverted_Pyramid_to_a_Circular_Writing_Model
 139. Journalistic interventions matter: Understanding how Americans perceive fact-checking labels | HKS Misinformation Review, <https://misinforeview.hks.harvard.edu/article/journalistic-interventions-matter-understanding-how-americans-perceive-fact-checking-labels/>
 140. How To Combat Misinformation, Disinformation and Mal-Information - Media Defence, <https://www.mediadefence.org/ereader/publications/modules-digital-rights-europe/module-5-disinformation-misinformation/combat-misinformation/>
 141. Chapter 2. Countering misinformation in and from the newsroom: How digital platforms redefine journalistic practice and the demo, <https://norden.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1641176/FULLTEXT02.pdf>
 142. Countering Disinformation Effectively: An Evidence-Based Policy Guide, <https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2024/01/countering-disinformation-effectively-an-evidence-based-policy-guide>
 143. Debunking Study Suggests Ways to Counter Misinformation and Correct 'Fake News', <https://www.asc.upenn.edu/news-events/news/debunking-study-suggests-ways-counter-misinformation-and-correct-fake-news>

144. Rhetorical strategies of counter-journalism: How American YouTubers are challenging dominant media election narratives - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350451217_Rhetorical_strategies_of_counter-journalism_How_American_YouTubers_are_challenging_dominant_media_election_narratives
145. Lies, Damn Lies, and Viral Content - Columbia Journalism Review, https://www.cjr.org/tow_center_reports/craig_silverman_lies_damn_lies_viral_content.php
146. Recommendations for countering misinformation - American Psychological Association, <https://www.apa.org/topics/journalism-facts/misinformation-recommendations>
147. How journalists care: A framework for building trust and relevance - American Press Institute, <https://americanpressinstitute.org/how-journalists-care-a-framework-for-building-trust-and-relevance/>
148. Strategies for building trust in news: What the public say they want across four countries, <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/strategies-building-trust-news-what-public-say-they-want-across-four-countries>
149. Guidance for Building Trust with the Communities You Serve | ICFJ, <https://www.icfj.org/news/guidance-building-trust-communities-you-serve>
150. The effects of journalistic transparency on credibility assessments and engagement intentions - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333379304_The_effects_of_journalistic_transparency_on_credibility_assessments_and_engagement_intentions
151. The mirage in the trust desert: Challenging journalism's transparency infatuation - Reuters Institute, https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2023-08/RIJS%20Fellows%20Paper_Jussi%20Latvala_TT23_FINAL.pdf
152. Transparency in Journalism | Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication, <https://oxfordre.com/communication/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228613-e-883?p=emailAGdkMhH11CBmo&d=/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228613-e-883>
153. Transparency and trust in the media business - Digital Content Next, <https://digitalcontentnext.org/blog/2020/06/25/transparency-and-trust-in-the-media-business/>

154. In Transparency We Trust? - Mozilla Foundation, <https://foundation.mozilla.org/en/research/library/in-transparency-we-trust/research-report/>
 155. Introductory Note. Transparency in the Media: Challenges and Constraints, <https://journals.openedition.org/cs/12902>
 156. The transparency paradox: Could less be more when it comes to trust? - Deloitte, <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/focus/human-capital-trends/2024/transparency-in-the-workplace.html>
 157. The mirage in the trust desert: challenging journalistic transparency | Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/mirage-trust-desert-challenging-journalistic-transparency>
 158. Comparing how leading news media balance the need for transparency with professional ethics - DiVA portal, <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1641189/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
 159. Full article: Trust through Transparency? How Journalistic Reactions to Media-Critical User Comments Affect Quality Perceptions and Behavior Intentions, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21670811.2021.2017316>
 160. Building Brand Trust in a Misinformation Era: The Role of Transparency in PR, <https://c-k-communications.com/building-brand-trust-in-a-misinformation-era-the-role-of-transparency-in-pr/>
 161. Journalism, Transparency and the Public Trust - The Aspen Institute, <https://www.aspeninstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/files/content/docs/cands/JOURTRANSPTEXT.PDF>
 162. 9 ways to build trust in science | Luminary Labs, <https://www.luminary-labs.com/9-ways-to-build-trust-in-science/>
- AI in journalism, a trust issue for journalists and audiences, says new report - Euractiv, <https://www.euractiv.com/section/tech/news/ai-in-journalism-a-trust-issue-for-journalists-and-audiences-says-new-report/>